

S

File With _____

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO: ABP 314485

TO: SEO

Defer Re O/H ☐

Having considered the contents of the submission ~~dated~~ received 23/12/26 from

Portmarnock Community Association

I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no m issue

E.O.: [Signature]

Date: 23/12/26

To EO: _____

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐

Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission

to: _____

Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORMAppeal No: ABP 374688Please treat correspondence received on 23/12/24 as follows:

1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____

2. Acknowledge with BP 233. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐

Amendments/Comments

4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(b) GIS Processing ☐(c) Processing ☒(d) Screening ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐RETURN TO EO ☐Plans Date Stamped ☐Date Stamped Filled in ☐EO: [Signature]AA: F. HeadiporDate: 23/12/24Date: 24/1/25

James

Daragh Cassells

From: Gordon Murphy <usmurphs@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday 23 December 2024 01:10
To: Appeals2
Subject: ABP-314485-22 (F20A/0668)
Attachments: An Bord Planala.docx

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Portmarnock Community Association submission

We of the Portmarnock Community Association (PCA) are against the proposed changes outlined in ABP-314485. The removal of the numerical cap (number of flights permitted between the hours) of 2300 to 0700 daily and replacement with a shorter window of 2330 and 0600 is unacceptable as is the scraping of the CAP limit in favor of the introduction of the new Noise Quota System.

1. The effect of limiting the no flights window by reducing the nighttime curfew from 8 hours to 6.5 hours has left us shocked and disappointed. What logic says eight hours with a low level of flights is excessive while six and half is quite enough. What evidence would suggest an individual's sleep benefits being cut short and abrupted by incoming or outgoing aircraft engine noise in the early hours of the morning?
2. The proposed introduction (in lieu of a CAP) to be known as a Noise Quota Scheme is so self-evidently an effort to future proof and save the DAA from breaking the CAP limit and instead rely upon an ever-indecipherable Noise Quotient. This is an average of a mix of aircraft flights weighed by various noise figures best known to industry specialists whose interests are commercially focused and self-serving. The Noise Quotient System will be a curse for those who will live under it.
3. The proposal is to change the hours for the Northern Runway from the existing 2300 to 0700 and instead be lowered to 0000 and 0600, except for emergency flights, maintenance or those aircraft that require and need a longer runway and that of course is 10L-28R. So larger aircraft are to use the Northern Runway for 18 hours each day. In view of the impacted homes and the people who call Portmarnock home this is an appalling vision of the future and one which no representatives of DAA have recognized instead categorizing those who object to proposed changes as Highly Annoyed or Highly Sleep Disturbed.

Yours sincerely,
Gordon Murphy
Chairman of Portmarnock Community Association

ABP-314485-22
PLANNING AUTHORITY REFERENCE NUMBER: F20A/0668
PORTMARNOCK COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION

Portmarnock Community Association
C/O Ivy Lodge
Strand Road
Co. Dublin
D13 ED85

Date :22 December 2024
Re: Draft proposal

An Bord Planala,
In reference to proposed changes to the earlier planning decision, a planning decision affecting the night-time use of the runway system at Dublin Airport.

We of the Portmarnock Community Association (PCA) are against the proposed changes outlined in ABP-314485. The removal of the numerical cap (number of flights permitted between the hours) of 2300 to 0700 daily and replacement with a shorter window of 2330 and 0600 is unacceptable as is the scraping of the CAP limit in favor of the introduction of the new Noise Quota System.

1. The effect of limiting the no flights window by reducing the nighttime curfew from 8 hours to 6.5 hours has left us shocked and disappointed. What logic says eight hours with a low level of flights is excessive while six and half is quite enough. What evidence would suggest an individual's sleep benefits being cut short and abrupted by incoming or outgoing aircraft engine noise in the early hours of the morning?
2. The proposed introduction (in lieu of a CAP) to be known as a Noise Quota Scheme is so self-evidently an effort to future proof and save the DAA from breaking the CAP limit and instead rely upon an ever-indecipherable Noise Quotient. This is an average of a mix of aircraft flights weighed by various noise figures best known to industry specialists whose interests are commercially focused and self-serving. The Noise Quotient System will be a curse for those who will live under it.
3. The proposal is to change the hours for the Northern Runway from the existing 2300 to 0700 and instead be lowered to 0000 and 0600, except for emergency flights, maintenance or those aircraft that require and need a longer runway and that of course is 10L-28R. So larger aircraft are to use the Northern Runway for 18 hours each day. In view of the impacted homes and the people who call Portmarnock home this is an appalling vision of the future and one which no representatives of DAA have recognized instead categorizing those who object to proposed changes as Highly Annoyed or Highly Sleep Disturbed.

Yours sincerely,
Gordon Murphy
Chairman of Portmarnock Community Association

ABP-314485-22

PLANNING AUTHORITY REFERENCE NUMBER: F20A/0668

PORTMARNOCK COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION